

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

		. L	:D	
D	EC 1	3 2	2022	
CLER	OF ST	HA.B	ROWN	IRT
GHI	EF DEF	ייוטי	CLERK	-

In the Matter of		HIEF DEPUTY CLERK
ADAM VANDER HEYDEN, Pro Tem Judge,) Las Vegas Justice Court, Clark County,) State of Nevada,)	CASE NO	85781
Respondent.		

CERTIFIED COPY OF STIPULATION AND ORDER OF CONSENT TO PUBLIC REPRIMAND

Pursuant to Commission Procedural Rule 29, I hereby certify that the document attached hereto is a true and correct copy of the STIPULATION AND ORDER OF CONSENT TO PUBLIC REPRIMAND filed with the Nevada Commission on Judicial Discipline on December 12, 2022.

DATED this 12th day of December, 2022.

NEVADA COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL DISCIPLINE P.O. Box 18123 Reno, NV 89511 (775) 687-4017

By:

General Counsel and Executive Director

Nevada Bar No. 6954

BEFORE THE NEVADA COMMISSION ON JUDICIAI/DISCIPLINE

IN THE MATTER OF THE HONORABLE, ADAM VANDER HEYDEN, Pro Tem Judge, Las Vegas Justice Court, Clark County, State of Nevada,

Respondent.

Case No.: 2021-143

STIPULATION AND ORDER OF CONSENT TO PUBLIC REPRIMAND

In order to resolve the judicial conduct complaint pending before the Nevada Commission on Judicial Discipline (the "Commission"), the Respondent, Honorable Adam Vander Heyden, Pro Tem Judge, Las Vegas Justice Court, Clark County, State of Nevada ("Respondent" or "Judge Vander Heyden"), and the Commission stipulate to the following pursuant to Commission Procedural Rule ("CPR") 29:

1. Respondent admits that he violated Canon I of the Revised Nevada Code of Judicial Conduct ("Code"), Rule 1.1, requiring Respondent to comply with the law, including the Code, Rule 1.2, requiring Respondent to act at all times in a manner that promotes public confidence in the independence, integrity, and impartiality of the judiciary and shall avoid impropriety and the appearance of impropriety, and Rule 1.3, requiring the Respondent not to abuse the prestige of judicial office to advance the personal or economic interests of the judge or others, or allow others to do so; and Canon 2 of the Code, Rule 2.8(A), requiring Respondent to ensure order and decorum in proceedings before the court and Rule 2.10(A), requiring Respondent not to make any public statement that might reasonably be expected to affect the outcome or impair the fairness of a matter pending or impending in any court, or make any nonpublic statement that might substantially interfere with a fair trial or hearing, in his capacity as a Pro Tem Judge in and for the Las Vegas Justice Court ("LVJC"), in Clark County, State of Nevada, by knowingly or unknowingly

/// ///

28 ///

engaging in an act, a combination of acts, or all of the following acts, which occurred during the circumstances stated below:

- A. During the period spanning April through June, 2021, Respondent caused to be created and published through Golden Medina Services "Instagram" and "Tik Tok" videos depicting Respondent on the bench presiding over actual judicial proceedings, without the consent of the litigants or court personnel, wearing a judicial robe and commenting on the proceedings as the "DUI Doctor", which was used as advertising for Respondent's private law practice.
- B. The aforementioned videos were edited and contained sound effects, laughing emojis, music, voiceovers and post-proceeding commentary, along with references to the name of Respondent's private law firm and his law firm's internet address accompanied by captions that read, "Need legal help? I'm a defense attorney in the State of Nevada", all of which were disseminated to the public.
- 2. Respondent admits to all the allegations in paragraphs (1)(A) and (B) as set forth above.
- 3. Respondent agrees to waive his right to present his case and contest the allegations in the information set forth above in a formal hearing pursuant to CPR 18. Respondent also agrees that this Stipulation and Order of Consent to Public Reprimand ("Order") takes effect immediately, pursuant to CPR 29. The Commission accepts Respondent's waiver of said right and acknowledges and agrees to the immediate effect of this Order. Respondent further agrees to appear before the Commission in a public proceeding, if required by the Commission, to discuss this Order in more detail and to answer any questions from the Commissioners related to this case.
- Respondent agrees and acknowledges that this Order will be published on the Commission's website and filed with the Clerk of the Nevada Supreme Court.
- 5. Respondent and the Commission hereby stipulate to Respondent's consent to a public reprimand pursuant to CPR 29. Respondent stipulates to the following substantive provisions:
- A. He agrees the evidence available to the Commission would establish by clear and convincing proof that he violated the Code, including Canon 1, Rules 1.1, 1.2 and 1.3

and Canon 2, Rules 2.8(A) and 2.10(A).

- B. He further agrees that his actions, as described above, constitute aggravating factors for purposes of imposition of discipline in this matter, and merit the specific discipline stipulated to herein.
 - C. He further agrees not to serve as a Pro Tem Judge in the future.
- D. He agrees the discipline of public reprimand is justified and authorized by Article 6, Section 21(1) of the Nevada Constitution; NRS 1.428; NRS 1.4653; NRS 1.4677(1)(a); NRS 1.4694; CPR 29; and Code Application Sections I and IV.
- E. He stipulates to a public reprimand for violations of the Judicial Canons and Rules as set forth above in paragraph (1).
- 6. Respondent understands and agrees that, by accepting the terms of this Order, he waives his right to appeal to the Nevada Supreme Court, pursuant to Rule 3D of the Nevada Rules of Appellate Procedure. Respondent also waives all other forms of extraordinary relief for purposes of challenging this Order.

ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Respondent is hereby publicly reprimanded for violating the Code, Canon 1, Rules 1.1, 1.2 and 1.3 and Canon 2, Rules 2.8(A) and 2.10(A).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Respondent shall not serve as a Pro Tem Judge in the future.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Executive Director of the Commission take the necessary steps to file this document in the appropriate records and on the website of the Commission and with the Clerk of the Nevada Supreme Court.

DATED: 12-5-22

ADAM VALVOER HEYDEN, Pro Tem Judge Respondent DATED:

THOMAS J. DONALDSON, Esq. Prosecuting Officer for the Nevada Commission on Judicial Discipline

NEVADA COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL DISCIPLINE

The Commissioners listed below accept the terms of this Stipulation and Order of Consent to Public Reprimand between the Respondent and the Commission. They further authorize the Chairman, if requested, to sign on behalf of the Commission, as a whole, this document containing the Stipulation and Order of Consent to Public Reprimand.

Signed by:	Dated:
GARA VAUSTI, CHAIRMAN	December 12, 2022
STEFANIE HUMPHREY, VICE-CHAIR	

KARL ARMSTRONG
DON CHRISTENSEN
HON. MARK DENTON
HON. DAVID HARDY
JOHN KRMPOTIC

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I am an employee of the Nevada Commission on Judicial Discipline and that on the 12th day of December, 2022, I served a copy of the CERTIFIED COPY OF STIPULATION AND ORDER OF CONSENT TO PUBLIC REPRIMAND by email and U.S. Mail, addressed to the following:

JESS R. MARCHESE 601 S. RANCHO DR., B14 LAS VEGAS, NV 89106 marcheselaw@msn.com Counsel for Respondent

THOMAS J. DONALDSON DYER LAWRENCE LAW FIRM 2805 MOUNTAIN STREET CARSON CITY,NV 89703 TDonaldson@dyerlawrence.com Prosecuting Officer

By:

Nancy Schleihans, Commission Clerk